

SACRAMENTO DAILY RECORD-UNION.

VOLUME LV.—NO. 127.

SACRAMENTO, MONDAY MORNING, JULY 19, 1886.

WHOLE NO 10,996

DAILY RECORD-UNION

PUBLISHED BY THE
SACRAMENTO PUBLISHING COMPANY.

Publication Office, Third st., bet. J and H.

THE DAILY RECORD-UNION

Is published every day of the week, Sundays excepted. Double Number on Saturday.

Per year, \$6.00

For six months, \$3.00

For three months, \$1.50

For one month, 50 cents

For six months, 25 cents

For one year, 12 cents

Entered as a Post Office at Sacramento as second class matter.

THE WEEKLY UNION

Is the cheapest and most durable Home, News and Literary Journal published on the Pacific coast. One year, \$1.00

WANTED—LOST—FOUND.

WANTED—ANY ONE WHO HAS A BEER

Cheer for sale, large enough for two or three barrels, and will purchase a barrel of beer.

WANTED—A BAKER: ALSO, 2 WAITERS:

men to thresh; men to bale; 2 milkers; a brick settler; 2 cooks; also, 3 ranch hands.

For employment office, Fourth and K streets, Sacramento.

50¢ REWARD!

STOLEN—ONE EIGHT-FOOT MARK

PROPERTY OF H. D. RODE, Sacramento.

WANTED—A BAKER: ALSO, 2 WAITERS:

men to thresh; men to bale; 2 milkers;

a brick settler; 2 cooks; also, 3 ranch hands.

For employment office, Fourth and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

WANTED—A PAIR OF BAY HORSES

For sale at the Tryon Stable, 12th and K streets, Sacramento.

Record-Union is the only paper on the coast, outside of San Francisco, that receives the full Associated Press dispatches from all parts of the world. Outside of San Francisco it has no competitor, in point of numbers, in its home and general circulation throughout the coast.

SAN FRANCISCO AGENCY.

L. P. WIEBER is sole Agent for this paper in San Francisco and vicinity. He is authorized to receive advertisements and subscriptions, and collect for the same. Rooms 21 and 22, Merchants' Exchange.

NOTES OF THE MORNING.

Business.—Interest in the Dilke-Crawford scandal continues to increase.... The London wool market is improving.... England emphatically protests against Russia's action in closing Batoum.... Gladstone and his Cabinet resign and wage a war of opposition to the Foreign.... English securities are getting bad.... Two men engaged in making dynamite were killed near Cork yesterday.

Eastern.—California fruit continues to reach the East in good condition.... A car on the Lower California railroad joined the train at the demolished house.... It is likely the House will disagree with the Senate's action on the river and harbor bill.... Indian Territory, Colorado and Kansas are suffering from drought.... Three men fought and died with knife and carbine, Ill on Saturday.... A great fire occurred at St. Louis, Mo., yesterday.... Forty persons had a narrow escape from drowning yesterday at Rockaway Beach.

Pacific Coast.—The price of hops is stiffening in Washington Territory.... The seven-cent salvoes ever known at Virginia City occurred Saturday. The water fell in sheets.... An unusual number of sudden deaths have occurred in the last few days.... There was racing at Chico on Friday and Saturday.... Governor West publishes a proclamation of warning to the population of Chico. Richard Jones was killed in Rockaway, Ill on Saturday.... The accidental discharge of a gun.... The Mexican situation is gaining in proportions.... H. G. McLean, a veteran journalist, died at San Buenaventura yesterday.... There seems to have been a number of wild and frantic fits, but not all attributable to the same causes.... John Cecil, of Brentwood, shot his wife yesterday and then killed himself. Mrs. Cecil was alive at last accounts.... A trout was taken at Rockwood, Ill on Saturday.... Rain fell at Yreka last night.

A MATTER OF MANLINESS.

There is one thing about the mountain press that has always excited our admiration—its high-mindedness and ability to recognize merit above the head of self-interest.

Perhaps it is the freedom of the mountain life; the buoyancy of the pure atmosphere of the eternal hills; the chivalry and manliness begot of dwelling in the lofty altitudes, where the waters are born, and the resounding arms of the piney woods stimulate the lungs to deepest draughts of the inspiring air of the mighty mountains—these and other things, their methods of life, the broad hospitality beget of their roughing it in the days gone by, make the men of the Sierras generous and just and fair to an opponent. A mountain editor will fight you to the death on any issue on which you may "lock horns"; his paper will return blows worthy of all given, but because there is a difference of opinion between it and a contemporary as to a public question, it does not therefore look upon the latter as a Vandal and an enemy to be killed at sight.

The Tribune asks too much. Its ideal campaign will come to the fore "one of these days," possibly, but not for many, many years. The moral nature of the political man is not easily or speedily regenerated.

EXTRA SESSION.

THE ABSORBING TOPIC OF THE HOUR.

Views of the Press—Personal Expressions—Irrigation and the Supreme Court.

as vicious and dangerous, and providing for displacement of Justices without any cause other than the sweet will of a political body, which might thus literally dictate to the Courts what their interpretation of the law must be, and consequently destroy the independence and integrity of a distinct branch of the Government. But it became constitutional law, and is such now, and under it Justices can be removed by the concurrent act of the two houses of the Legislature. If Article VI. is now to be remedied, we trust that this dangerous and altogether vicious sentence in Section 10, quoted above, will be stricken out, and nothing but impeachment be permitted in its stead. It is true the section to which we refer provides for a complaint and its service, and for the granting of a hearing to the Judge complained of. But all that is merely *pro forma*, when a political body determines upon removal, for it is not provided what shall be good cause, or, indeed, that any good cause whatever shall be charged and found, but simply "cause," and that the Legislature is the sole judge—a dangerous power, we submit.

Proposed Legislation.—Interest in the Dilke-Crawford scandal continues to increase.... The London wool market is improving.... England emphatically protests against Russia's action in closing Batoum.... Gladstone and his Cabinet resign and wage a war of opposition to the Foreign.... English securities are getting bad.... Two men engaged in making dynamite were killed near Cork yesterday.

The female deer and the fawn are protected by the game law—that is, it is popularly so supposed. From July 1st to November 1st the "buck" may be killed. But these laws of liberty and protection are abused and by none more in some sections than the Indians of the State of Nevada. We never hear of one of these fawns being taken and punished, and in fact they never are, and so are encouraged to destroy our game. The Amador Ledger says that the Carson valley Indians swam into Calaveras county, above West Point, one of the best deer runs in the State, and slaughtered deer right and left. In one season they cleaned up no less than 800 head. They do not respect either the close season or the law protecting the deer and fawn. Someone ought to make it his business to put a check upon these fellows. One good example before the Courts will serve to fatten the Nevada Indians out of the wholesale deer slaughtering business, and which, if continued in long, will exterminate the animal in perhaps the best grounds of the State. What aggravates the evil is that these Indians are in the employment of white men who hire the "aborigines" to slaughter the animals for their hides.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

BILLS TO BE INTRODUCED.

These bills also add to the proposed amendment to Article XIV. Section 3, following the precise wording of the proposed amendment last above given: one declaring that before an injunction is issued to prevent diversion of water from a stream, the water must be applied to the rivers under Federal control, and that the Act of admission of the State to the Union, approved September 9, 1850, reads:

"All navigable waters within the said State shall be common highways and forums as well as the inlets and harbors of the State to the citizens of the United States, without tax, impost or duty thereon. And this is held to be a compact inviolable in this matter, and will stand in the same force as any compact or agreement made in this case."

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

Mr. BOUTELLE wants to punish the Canadians by putting a tariff embargo on foreign fish goods. That means, of course, to increase the cost to the American consumer, who will or must have the foreign fish. But the New York Herald propounds to the suggestion of Mr. Boutelle an insidious question, "Would it not be fair, it asks, "to protect" the New England fisherman by any appropriation or diversion that would all imperil their navigability.

